## Case 2:24-cr-00268-WBS Document 45 Filed 07/24/25 Page 1 of 3

```
MICHAEL D. LONG (CA State Bar #149475)
 1
    901 H Street, Suite 301
 2
    Sacramento, CA 95814
    (916) 201-4188
 3
    Mike.Long.Law@msn.com
 4
    Attorney for DUSTIN BROWN
 5
                          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 6
                        FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 7
    THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                              ) No. 2:24-cr-268 WBS
 8
                         Plaintiff,
                                              ) STIPULATION AND ORDER
 9
                                              ) TO CONTINUE STATUS
                                              ) CONFERENCE FOR BOTH DEFENDANTS
           v.
11
    DUSTIN BROWN and
                                              ) Requested status conference date: 9-29-2025
                                              ) Time: 10:00 a.m.
    MATTHEW MICHALAK,
12
                         Defendants.
                                              ) Judge: Hon. William B. Shubb
13
14
    STIPULATION REGARDING A NEW STATUS CONFERENCE DATE OF SEPTEMBER 29.
15
    2025
16
           It is hereby stipulated between the parties, Jason Hitt, Assistant United States Attorney,
    Todd Leras, attorney for defendant MATTHEW MICHALAK and Michael D. Long, attorney for
18
    defendant DUSTIN BROWN, that the status conference set for July 28, 2025, at 10:00 a.m. should
19
20
    be continued and re-set for September 29, 2025, at 10:00 a.m.
21
           Both Mr. Leras and Mr. Long need to continue to read the discovery, review the discovery
22
    with his client, and assess the facts, the charges and potential defenses. Mr. Brown is housed in the
     Yuba County Jail in Marysville, which only has one attorney booth in which lawyers can pass
    documents to clients, which in turn makes meetings with Mr. Brown more difficult to schedule.
25
26
    Legal visits are often limited to one hour per visit. Mr. Michalak was housed for 90 days in an in-
    patient rehabilitation facility, which made it more difficult for Mr. Leras to go over the discovery
28
```

10

17

23

24

27

## Case 2:24-cr-00268-WBS Document 45 Filed 07/24/25 Page 2 of 3

with him (there is a protective order concerning the discovery, so the discovery cannot be simply given to a defendant).

The parties further agree that this court should make a finding of good cause for the requested extension and that in fact good cause is hereby shown. The government has provided over 350 pages of discovery and many hours of video and audio recordings. The government has made additional discovery available for review by defense counsel, including seized digital devices. All attorneys continue to read and view the discovery, investigate the case and meet with their respective clients. Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

All parties are available to appear in this case on September 29, 2025.

Each party further stipulates that the ends of justice served by granting such continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and of all the defendants in a speedy trial. Time has already been excluded through July 28, 2025.

All parties request the date of September 29, 2025, for the status hearing. The request for extending the date for the status conference is at the specific request of each of the defendants and with the knowing, intelligent and voluntary waiver of each defendant's speedy trial rights under the law. The government agrees that a continuance is necessary. Good cause is hereby shown.

For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period from today's date to September 29, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis of

## Case 2:24-cr-00268-WBS Document 45 Filed 07/24/25 Page 3 of 3

1 the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest 2 of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 3 Dated: July 22, 2025 Respectfully submitted, 4 5 <u>/s/ Míchael D. Lona</u> MICHAEL D. LONG 6 Attorney for Dustin Brown 7 /s/ Todd Leras 8 **TODD LERAS** Attorney for Matthew Michalak 9 10 11 Dated: July 22, 2025 KIMBERLY SANCHEZ Acting United States Attorney 12 13 /s/ lason Hitt **JASON HITT** 14 Assistant U.S. Attorney 15 16 **ORDER** 17 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING AND HAVING BEEN SHOWN, IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 The date for the status hearing in this matter is hereby re-set for **September 29, 2025, at** 19 **10:00** a.m., before District Court Judge William B. Shubb. 20 Time is is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code 21 22 T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis 23 of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best 24 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(1)(D). Time is 25 excluded through the new hearing date of September 29, 2025. 26 Dated: July 24, 2025 27 WILLIAM B. SHUBB 28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE